Postprandial lipemia differentially influences high density lipoprotein subpopulations LpAI and LpAI,AII

Richard W. James¹ and Daniel Pometta

Lipid Laboratory, Division of Diabetology, University Hospital, 1211 Geneva 14, Switzerland

Abstract The behavior of apolipoprotein-defined subpopulations LpAI and LpAI,AII within high density lipoprotein (HDL) subclasses 2 and 3 was analyzed in the postprandial phase after a fat load. For the whole group of subjects, increases in plasma concentrations of HDL, principally due to the influx of lipoprotein surface components, were largely confined to the HDL₃ density range and involved LpAI,AII and LpAI. However, the degree of postprandial lipemia influenced the distribution of surface remnants between the subfractions. In subjects with a limited postprandial rise in triglycerides, increased HDL mass was predominantly associated with LpAI,AII, and equally distributed between HDL₂ and HDL₃. Conversely, subjects with exaggerated postprandial lipemia manifested increased mass primarily within the HDL₃ density range, implicating both LpAI, AII and LpAI. Stepwise regression analysis identified a two-variable model, involving LpAI,AII within HDL₂ and LpAI within HDL₃, as best defining the relationship between postprandial lipemia and the increase in HDL mass. Postprandial increases in triglyceride content were observed for all HDL subfractions, whilst modifications to the core lipid mass ratios were significant only for LpAI,AII. Stepwise regression analysis revealed a significant correlation between postprandial lipemia and the increase in triglyceride concentration only of LpAI,AII within HDL₃. III The results suggest that postprandial lipemia differentially influences apolipoprotein-defined HDL subfractions. The extent of postprandial lipemia may determine the involvement of different HDL subfractions in postprandial lipoprotein metabolism .- James, R. W., and D. Pometta. Postprandial lipemia differentially influences high density lipoprotein subpopulations LpAI and LpAI,AII. J. Lipid Res. 1994. 35: 1583-1591.

Supplementary key words HDL₂ • HDL₃

High density lipoproteins (HDL) enjoy a reputation as anti-atherogenic particles, a conclusion supported by an impressive, multi-disciplinary array of evidence (1-8). Facilitated catabolism and, thus, rapid elimination of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins (chylomicrons, very low density lipoproteins (VLDL)) has been proposed as one mechanism by which HDL beneficially influence the atherogenic process (8-10). Amongst other aspects, it involves the efficient management of the large quantities of lipid and protein material released during lipolysis. The principal elements are phospholipids and apolipoproteins (11-13) which derive from the lipoprotein surface layer rendered redundant consequent to shrinkage of the triglyceride-rich core. The task is assumed by HDL particles residing principally within the HDL₃ density spectrum, where absorption of surface remnants modifies their density and composition towards that of HDL₂ (11-13). During the post-absorptive phase, disposal of acquired material, probably mediated by hepatic lipase (14, 15), completes a hypothetical, recycling process (16) by regenerating HDL₃ particles. There is, however, a surprising lack of detailed information about how this fundamental process functions, although ultracentrifugal separation into HDL subclasses 2 and 3 has been instrumental in providing a measure of understanding (11-13, 15, 16).

In recent years, several groups have exploited apolipoprotein composition to effectuate subfractionation of HDL (17-21). In terms of their quantitatively major apolipoproteins (A-I and A-II), HDL can be separated into particles containing apoA-I and A-II (LpAI,AII or Lp(AI with AII)) and those containing apoA-I but no apoA-II (LpAI or Lp(AI without AII)). Both types of particle are found within subclasses HDL₂ and HDL₃ (19, 22, 23). The physiological relevance of these subpopulations is presently under investigation. Available evidence suggests that LpAI may be primarily involved in the reverse transport of cholesterol (24, 25) although it remains a point of contention (26). There is no clear indication as to the role of LpAI,AII; speculation has focused on links with the metabolism of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins (16).

JOURNAL OF LIPID RESEARCH

Abbreviations: VLDL, very low density lipoproteins; HDL, high density lipoproteins; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; apo, apolipoprotein; LCAT, lecithin:cholesterol acyltransferase.

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed.

A recent study from our laboratory provided compositional data consistent with such speculation (23).

The objective of the present study was to follow the behavior of apolipoprotein-defined subpopulations within HDL_2 and HDL_3 during a fat challenge. Their plasma concentrations and compositions were examined as indicators of potential roles in the postprandial phase of lipoprotein metabolism. The results provide the first direct evidence of the divergent effects of postprandial lipemia on LpAI and LpAI,AII.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Healthy volunteers who were normotriglyceridemic in a pre-study control (triglycerides < 200 mg/dl; 2.3 mmol/l) were recruited from the personnel and students of the University Hospital in Geneva (n = 17, 10 males, 7 females). Informed consent was obtained from all volunteers entering the study which was reviewed by and performed according to the guidelines of the Human Studies Ethical Commission of the University Hospital, Geneva. After an overnight fast, a standard fat-rich meal was provided as a drinkable liquid and consumed within 10 min. It provided 65 g fat/m² of body surface and was composed of 460 ml of whipped cream containing 2.2% protein, 12% carbohydrate, 85.8% fat (with a polyunsaturated/saturated fat ratio of 0.06) and 480 mg cholesterol. No other food was allowed during the next 8 h. Blood samples were taken prior to (t0) and 2 h, 4 h, 6 h, and 8 h after ingestion of the meal. Lipid and apolipoprotein measurements were carried out on all blood samples, and postprandial triglyceridemia was calculated as the function of triglyceride levels during the 8-h postprandial phase by the trapezoidal rule, as described previously (9). In addition, HDL were subfractionated from the 0, 2 h, and 6 h samples as described below.

Plasma samples were sequentially fractionated into HDL subclasses 2 and 3 then subfractions LpAI and LpAI,AII as described in detail previously (27). Briefly, HDL subclasses were isolated by density gradient ultracentrifugation (13) from 2×2.0 ml plasma. Peaks corresponding to HDL₂ and HDL₃ were identified either by measuring total cholesterol, or by monitoring the absorbance at 280 nm, pooled and dialyzed against phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; NaCl 0.14 M, KCl 2.7 mM, phosphate buffer 10 mM, pH 7.4, EDTA 1.0 mM). An aliquot of each subclass (0.5 mg protein) was incubated with an excess of immunoabsorbent anti-apoA-II gel by gentle end-over-end rotation (2 h, 4°C) in stoppered, plastic tubes. After low speed centrifugation (5 min) to remove gel bound HDL, the supernatant was recovered. It corresponded to HDL containing A-I but no A-II, i.e., HDL-LpAI. Parallel incubations were performed using HDL (0.1 mg) and an anti-apoA-I immunoabsorber to control for the presence of non-HDL material (virtually all HDL particles contain apoA-I (19, 22)). As indicated previously (27), such material did not exceed 2% of total protein or lipids. Additional control incubations showed negligible binding of HDL to immuno-absorbents prepared using non-immune mouse IgG.

This highly reproducible procedure (27) allows quantification of four HDL subfractions: HDL₂-(LpAI) and HDL₃-(LpAI) whose lipid and total protein components were assaved in the unbound fraction after incubation of the relevant HDL subclass with anti-apoA-II immunoabsorbent; and HDL₂-LpAI.AII and HDL₃-LpAI.AII which were computed by subtraction of LpAI values from nonfractionated HDL₂ and HDL₃, respectively. For brevity, these fractions are herein referred to as $Lp(AI)_2$, Lp(AI,AII)₂, Lp(AI)₃, and Lp(AI,AII)₃. (As described previously (27), inter-assay coefficients of variation for the immunofractionation step of 3.4, 1.1, 2.2, and 0.5 for Lp(AI)₂, Lp(AI,AII)₂, Lp(AI)₃, and Lp(AI,AII)₃, respectively, were observed.) For clarity, the term 'subclasses' is reserved for HDL₂ and HDL₃ as isolated by ultracentrifugation. Recovery of HDL-cholesterol by ultracentrifugation (as compared to HDL-cholesterol measured in whole plasma after phosphotungstate precipitation) was $92.0 \pm 10.1\%$ (n = 51).

Lipids (phospholipids, triglycerides, free and esterified cholesterol) were measured by standardized enzymatic procedures (28). ApoA-I and apoB were quantified by electroimmunoassay (29) and apoA-II by enzyme-linked immunoassay (23). Total protein was assayed by the procedure of Lowry et al. (30).

Statistical analyses were performed using the Statworks statistical package (Abacus concepts). Comparisons between samples were made with the two-tailed Student's *t*test. The association between postprandial lipemia and various HDL parameters was analyzed by means of the Pearson product moment correlation. The least squares method was used for regression analyses that were subsequently subjected to analysis of variance in order to obtain the significance of F ratios.

RESULTS

Plasma lipid and apolipoprotein levels measured in fasting and postprandial blood samples are given in **Table 1.** Highly significant increases in plasma triglycerides were observed up to the 6-h postprandial sample; these had virtually returned to fasting levels by t8. Less dramatic changes in other lipid levels were noted (Table 1). Plasma cholesterol rose slightly, whereas apoB levels fell gradually, attaining significance (at $P \leq 0.05$) for t6 and t8. Minor variations in HDL-cholesterol were observed; conversely, apoA-I and A-II tended to rise throughout the postprandial phase.

ASBMB

TABLE 1. Lipid and apolipoprotein parameters in fasting and postprandial blood samples

| Parameter | tO | τ2 | ι4 | 16 | t8 |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| Triglycerides Cholesterol HDL-cholesterol | $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ |
| АроА-1 АроВ АроА-11 | 96.6 ± 13.4 45.8 ± 14.0 | 96.4 ± 15.0 46.3 ± 12.5 | $\begin{array}{r} 133.7 \pm 24.3 \\ 95.3 \pm 14.0 \\ 46.6 \pm 14.7 \end{array}$ | 93.0 ± 13.2^{d} 47.3 ± 13.0^{d} | $\begin{array}{r} 133.3 \pm 23.3 \\ 92.5 \pm 11.3^{d} \\ 47.2 \pm 12.8^{d} \end{array}$ |

Blood lipid and apolipoprotein levels (\pm SD; n = 17) measured in fasting blood (t0) and 2 h, 4 h, 6 h, and 8 h after ingestion of a fatty meal. Lipid values are in mmol/l, and apolipoproteins in mg/dl. Postprandial samples were compared to the fasting values using the Student's paired *t*-test.

 $^{''}P < 0.05.$

Concentrations of HDL₂ and HDL₃, calculated as the sum of their individual components, are given in **Table 2A.** HDL₃ mass rose in postprandial plasma, the increase, already evident at t2, becoming highly significant 6 h postprandially. Increases in HDL₂ were minor in the 2-h sample, but significant (P < 0.025) at t6. Accompanying these changes was a tendency for both HDL₂ and HDL₃ to float at lower densities. Postprandial lipemia (measured as the 8-h triglyceride area) was negatively correlated with the mass of HDL₂ (r = -0.45; P < 0.05) in fasting plasma, but showed no correlation with HDL₃ (r = -0.05).

In terms of individual components, these rises particularly reflected increments in phospholipid and free cholesterol concentrations of both subclasses, although it was more marked for HDL₃ (Table 2A); increases in the total protein and triglyceride components were also noted. In contrast, there were no significant changes in the absolute concentrations of esterified cholesterol. Most of the modifications were significant for the 6-h postprandial sample. Translated into composition (weight percent, Table 2B), there was a highly significant enrichment of HDL₃ in phospholipids and free cholesterol and, to a lesser extent, triglycerides. Conversely, the relative esterified cholesterol content was significantly reduced. Compositional modifications of HDL₂ were less marked, apart from a highly significant reduction in esterified cholesterol (Table 2).

Plasma concentrations of the subfractions obtained by immunoaffinity absorption of ultracentrifugally isolated HDL subfractions are given in **Table 3A.** As compared to the fasting blood sample, levels of $Lp(AI)_3$ and

TABLE 2. Composition of HDL₂ and HDL₃ in fasting and postprandial plasma samples

| Subclass | Protein | Triglycerides | Phospholipids | Free Cholesterol | Esterified Cholesterol | Total Mass |
|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| A) Absolute | concentrations | (mg/dl) of HDL | subclass compone | nte | | |
| HDL | concentrations | (ing/oi) of TIDE | ubenass compone | | | |
| t() | 33.5 + 20.8 | 2.0 + 1.9 | 23.3 + 16.5 | 5.0 + 3.4 | 19.4 + 12.2 | 83.4 + 53.9 |
| t2 | 35.1 + 20.8 | 2.4 + 2.1 | 23.3 + 15.3 | 5.3 + 3.5 | 19.5 + 12.0 | 85.5 + 52.7 |
| t6 | 36.0 ± 19.8 | $2.7 + 2.6^{\circ}$ | $26.5 \pm 16.9^{\circ}$ | 5.8 ± 3.7^{d} | 19.7 ± 11.7 | 90.8 ± 53.3 |
| HDL_3 | | - | | | - | |
| t0 | 120.2 ± 21.0 | 2.7 ± 1.4 | 48.2 ± 10.5 | 6.3 ± 1.3 | 40.6 ± 7.5 | 218.0 ± 38.0 |
| t2 | 126.9 ± 22.3 | 2.9 ± 1.4 | 50.5 ± 12.3 | 6.7 ± 1.3 | 41.8 ± 7.8 | 228.7 ± 39.9 |
| t6 | 134.5 ± 19.0^{b} | $3.7 \pm 2.0^{\circ}$ | $60.9 \pm 17.9''$ | 8.3 ± 1.5^{d} | 40.8 ± 10.3 | 248.3 ± 41.4 |
| B) Relative | concentrations (| g/100 g) of HDL | subclass compon | ients | | |
| HDL_2 | | | | | | |
| tO | 40.5 ± 8.8 | 2.3 ± 1.1 | 27.5 ± 3.6 | 6.1 ± 0.9 | 23.5 ± 2.9 | |
| t2 | 41.6 ± 3.9 | 2.8 ± 1.8 | 26.5 ± 3.7 | 6.1 ± 1.1 | 23.0 ± 2.8 | |
| t6 | 40.1 ± 2.9 | 2.9 ± 1.6 | 28.6 ± 4.8 | 6.4 ± 1.0 | 21.6 ± 2.4^{d} | |
| HDL_3 | | | | | | |
| t0 | 55.1 ± 2.8 | 1.2 ± 0.5 | 22.1 ± 2.4 | 2.9 ± 0.3 | 18.6 ± 1.4 | |
| t2 | 55.6 ± 3.4 | 1.2 ± 0.5 | 21.9 ± 2.7 | 2.9 ± 0.4 | 18.3 ± 2.1 | |
| t6 | 54.6 ± 5.1 | 1.5 ± 0.7^{h} | 24.3 ± 3.7^{d} | 3.3 ± 0.4^{d} | $16.3 \pm 3.0^{\circ}$ | |

Absolute (A) and relative (B) concentrations (\pm SD, n = 17) of HDL subclasses separated by density gradient ultracentrifugation from fasting (t0) and postprandial (t2, 2 h; t6, 6 h) plasma. Postprandial samples were compared to t0 using Student's paired *t*-test; ${}^{a}P \leq 0.05$; ${}^{b}P \leq 0.01$; ${}^{c}P \leq 0.005$; ${}^{d}P \leq 0.001$.

 $^{^{&}quot;}P < 0.001$

 $^{^{}h}P < 0.005.$

P < 0.01.

| TABLE 3. | Composition of | apolipoprotein-defined | HDL subfractions in | fasting and | postprandial | plasma samples |
|----------|----------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|
|----------|----------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|

| Subfraction | Protein | Triglycerides | Phospholipids | Free Cholesterol | Esterified Cholesterol | Total Mass |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| A) Absolute conce | entrations (mg/dl) | | | | | |
| $Lp(AI)_2$ | , | | | | | |
| tÔ | 11.3 ± 9.4 | 1.4 ± 1.6 | 8.8 + 8.1 | 2.1 ± 1.6 | 5.5 ± 4.2 | 29.2 + 24.2 |
| t2 | 11.5 ± 9.1 | 1.4 ± 1.6 | 8.6 ± 8.4 | 2.1 ± 1.7 | 5.4 ± 4.6 | 29.1 ± 24.1 |
| t6 | 12.1 ± 9.1 | 1.6 ± 1.9 | 9.7 ± 8.9" | $2.5 \pm 1.8''$ | 5.7 ± 4.9 | 31.7 ± 25.9 |
| $Lp(AI,AII)_2$ | | | | | | |
| tÖ | 21.8 ± 10.5 | 0.8 ± 1.1 | 14.6 ± 8.9 | 3.0 ± 2.1 | 13.3 ± 7.0 | 53.5 ± 28.6 |
| t2 | 23.5 ± 12.1 | 1.0 ± 0.8 | 14.6 ± 7.7 | 3.1 ± 1.9 | 13.8 ± 7.8 | 56.1 ± 28.9 |
| t6 | 24.0 ± 11.0 | 1.1 ± 1.0 | 16.8 ± 8.8^{t} | $3.5 \pm 1.8''$ | 13.9 ± 7.1 | $59.1 \pm 28.4^{\circ}$ |
| $Lp(AI)_3$ | | | | | | |
| t0 | 29.5 ± 11.2 | 1.6 ± 0.8 | 8.9 ± 4.2 | 1.7 ± 0.6 | 5.8 ± 2.6 | 47.5 ± 16.5 |
| t2 | 33.1 ± 13.3 | 1.7 ± 0.7 | 9.3 ± 3.9 | 1.7 ± 0.7 | 6.4 ± 2.8 | 52.2 ± 17.4 |
| t6 | 33.1 ± 9.0 | $2.0 \pm 1.0^{\circ}$ | 12.2 ± 5.3^{d} | 2.3 ± 0.8^{d} | $7.6 \pm 4.4^{\circ}$ | $57.2 \pm 16.6^{\circ}$ |
| $Lp(AI, AII)_3$ | | | | | | |
| tO | 90.5 ± 16.2 | 1.0 ± 0.9 | 39.3 ± 7.8 | 4.5 ± 0.9 | 34.7 ± 6.2 | 170.2 ± 28.5 |
| t2 | 93.7 ± 17.2 | 1.2 ± 0.9 | 41.2 ± 9.8 | 4.9 ± 0.9 | 35.3 ± 6.5 | 176.4 ± 31.2 |
| t6 | $100.8 \pm 17.2^{\circ}$ | $1.7 \pm 1.3^{\circ}$ | $48.7 \pm 14.6^{\circ}$ | 6.0 ± 1.1^{d} | 33.1 ± 9.3 | 190.4 ± 34.6^{d} |
| B) Relative conce | ntrations (g/100 g) | | | | | |
| $(AI)_2$ | | | | | | |
| tÔ | 38.4 + 5.8 | 4.9 + 2.6 | 28.6 + 5.7 | 7.8 + 2.4 | 20.2 + 5.3 | |
| t2 | 40.4 + 8.7 | 4.6 + 2.3 | 27.2 + 5.2 | 7.6 + 2.6 | 18.6 + 5.4 | |
| t6 | 39.9 + 6.8 | 4.9 + 2.7 | 29.8 + 6.3 | 8.4 + 2.1 | $17.0 + 5.5^{\circ}$ | |
| L _D (AI,AII) ₂ | _ | | | | - | |
| t0 | 41.4 + 4.7 | 1.3 + 0.9 | 27.0 + 4.0 | 5.4 + 1.4 | 24.9 + 2.9 | |
| t2 | 42.3 + 5.0 | 1.9 + 1.8 | 25.8 + 4.5 | 5.5 + 0.9 | 24.4 ± 2.7 | |
| tő | 40.9 + 3.3 | $1.9 + 1.3^{\circ}$ | 27.9 + 4.8 | 5.6 + 0.9 | $23.5 \pm 2.3^{\circ}$ | |
| $Lp(AI)_3$ | | | | | | |
| t0 | 61.9 ± 7.6 | 3.6 ± 1.8 | 18.6 ± 4.8 | 3.7 ± 1.1 | 12.1 ± 3.2 | |
| t2 | 62.1 + 10.6 | 3.4 + 1.5 | 18.7 + 7.8 | 3.5 ± 1.1 | 12.2 ± 3.1 | |
| t6 | $58.3 + 7.5^{"}$ | 3.6 ± 1.9 | 21.0 ± 4.9^{b} | 4.2 ± 1.4 | 12.7 ± 4.8 | |
| $Lp(AI,AII)_3$ | - | - | _ | _ | | |
| tO | 53.1 ± 2.8 | 0.6 ± 0.4 | 23.1 ± 2.3 | 2.7 ± 0.4 | 20.4 ± 1.8 | |
| t2 | 53.2 ± 3.4 | 0.6 ± 0.4 | 23.2 ± 2.9 | 2.8 ± 0.3 | 20.1 ± 2.3 | |
| t6 | 53.3 ± 5.7 | $0.9 \pm 0.6'$ | $25.4 \pm 4.3^{*}$ | 3.2 ± 0.5^{d} | 17.2 ± 3.5^{d} | |

Absolute (A) and relative (B) concentrations (\pm SD, n = 17) of HDL subfractions separated from fasting (t0) and postprandial (t2, 2 h; t6, 6 h) plasma. Postprandial samples were compared to fasting values using Student's paired *t*-test; ${}^{a}P \leq 0.05$; ${}^{b}P \leq 0.01$; ${}^{c}P \leq 0.005$; ${}^{d}P \leq 0.001$. All other comparisons were not significant at P = 0.05.

Lp(AI,AII)₃ rose in both 2-h (0.05 > P < 0.07) and 6-h postprandial plasma, the increases being highly significant in the latter sample. Similarly, Lp(AI,AII)₂ mass was significantly increased in the 6-h sample whilst no significant changes in Lp(AI)₂ were recorded. The principal contributors to the increases in the masses of the subfractions were phospholipids and free cholesterol (Table 3A); they also occasioned substantial changes in the composition of Lp(AI,AII)₃ (Table 3B).

Postprandial lipemia is thought to alter the core and surface entities of HDL by distinct mechanisms (11-13, 31 and Discussion). The consequences of such modifications at the LpAI and LpAI,AII level were examined by analyzing particle composition.

In both HDL subclasses and apolipoprotein-defined subfractions, the total mass of components of the lipoprotein outer layer (phospholipids, free cholesterol, and protein) rose gradually in the postprandial phase. Increases were already evident at t2 and became significant at t6. They were particularly marked for HDL₃-derived

subfractions (Lp(AI,AII)₃, 155.5 \pm 27.0 v 134.4 \pm 22.9 mg/dl, P < 0.001; Lp(AI)₃, 47.6 ± 13.1 v 40.1 ± 14.1 mg/dl, P < 0.05) compared to fractions within the HDL₂ density range $(Lp(AI,AII)_2, 44.1 \pm 21.1 \times 39.3 \pm 20.9)$ mg/dl, P < 0.01; $Lp(AI)_2$, 24.3 ± 19.6 v 22.3 ± 18.9 mg/dl, P < 0.05). In contrast, there was no increase at t6 in the core lipid mass (triglycerides + esterified cholesterol) for either subclass or for immunoaffinity isolated subfraction, with the exception of Lp(AI)3 $(9.6 \pm 4.7 \text{ v} 7.5 \pm 3.1 \text{ mg/dl}, P < 0.05)$. There were, however, changes in the mass ratios of the two lipids in the apoA-II-containing subfractions in the postprandial phase $(t0 v t6: Lp(AI,AII)_3, 35.0 \pm 18.3 v 23.4 \pm 12.8,$ P < 0.05; Lp(AI,AII)₂, 24.6 ± 14.2 v 16.8 ± 11.8, P < 0.025); LpAI subfractions showed no significant changes in the relative mass ratios of the core lipids.

A wide range of postprandial responses (0.62-14.2 mmol/l triglyceride area at 8 h) to the fat challenge was observed in the subjects. To further examine this aspect, participants were divided into two groups representing

| and low metabolic response to the fat challenge | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Subfraction | Gro | ир А | Group B | | | |
| | tO | t6 | tO | t6 | | |
| HDL₂ | 103.0 ± 66.5 | 112.3 ± 68.0 | 64.8 ± 28.6 | $71.8 \pm 27.0^{\circ}$ | | |
| HDL₃ | 224.4 ± 25.3 | 232.1 ± 21.6 | 211.8 ± 47.4 | $261.4 \pm 51.3^{\circ}$ | | |
| Lp(AI) ₂ | 39.1 ± 31.7 | 41.4 ± 35.1 | 20.5 ± 10.6 | 23.0 ± 9.1 | | |
| Lp(AI,AII) ₂ | 63.9 ± 35.3 | 70.8 ± 33.4 | 44.3 ± 18.7 | 48.8 ± 19.4 | | |
| Lp(AI) ₃ | 48.5 ± 19.0 | 50.8 ± 18.8 | 46.7 ± 15.1 | 63.0 ± 12.6^{b} | | |
| Lp(AI,AII) ₃ | 175.8 ± 12.7 | 181.4 ± 19.9 | 165.2 ± 35.8 | 198.4 ± 43.5^{b} | | |

 TABLE 4. HDL subfraction concentrations in fasting and postprandial samples from subjects with a high and low metabolic response to the fat challenge

HDL subfraction concentrations (mg/dl \pm SD) were computed as the sum of protein and lipid components. Statistical comparisons (t6 v t0 within each subgroup) were made with the Student's paired *t*-test; ^{*a*}P < 0.02; ^{*b*}P < 0.005.

subjects with a high (group A) or low (group B) metabolic response to the fat load. Partition of the subjects was based on a triglyceride value of 200 mg/dl (2.3 mmol/l) which is the threshold for definition of hypertriglyceridemia promoted by American and European guidelines (32, 33); subjects with no triglyceride measurement above this value during the postprandial phase were assigned to group A whilst those with at least one value superior to 2.3 mmol/l were allocated to group B. Group A (n = 8; 3 males and 5 females) had a significantly lower (P < 0.0001) postprandial response (2.72 ± 1.71 mmol/l triglyceride area at 8 h) than group B (8.35 ± 3.2 mmol/l triglyceride area at 8 h; n = 9; 7 males, 2 females). Fasting HDL-cholesterol levels were 1.42 ± 0.32 and 1.28 ± 0.20 mmol/l (nonsignificant) in the high and low metabolic responders, respectively. Average fasting HDL₂ mass was substantially higher in group A (104.3 \pm 69.4 v 64.9 \pm 28.2 mg/dl, although nonsignificant due to large inter-individual variations: HDL₃ levels were similar in both groups (224.9 \pm 25.0 and 212.0 \pm 47.4 mg/dl). Plasma concentrations of the apolipoprotein-defined subfractions are shown in **Table 4** for fasting and 6-h samples. Several points merit attention. First, the increase in postprandial HDL mass was less marked in group A than group B. Second, the increased HDL mass in group A was largely confined to apoA-II-containing subfractions and was equally distributed between Lp(AI,AII)₃ and Lp(AI,AII)₂. Third, higher HDL mass concentrations in group B were mainly associated with HDL₃-derived subfractions, Lp(AI)₃ making a substantial contribution to

 TABLE 5.
 Stepwise regression analysis of the relationship between postprandial lipemia and modifications to HDL subfraction surface and core components

| | Confinition | SEM | Partial | p |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-----------------------|--------|
| <u> </u> | Coencient | | Coencient | P |
| Surface components | | | | |
| All subjects | | | | |
| Lp(AI,AII) ₂ | - 0.036 | 0.01 | - 0.61 | < 0.01 |
| Lp(AI) ₃ | 0.028 | 0.006 | 0.76 | < 0.01 |
| 1 ()5 | $r = 0.81$ $r^2 = 0.66$ | | Adjusted $r^2 = 0.61$ | < 0.01 |
| Group A | | | | |
| $Lp(AI)_2$ | - 0.024 | 0.1 | - 0.38 | < 0.05 |
| $Lp(AI, AII)_2$ | - 0.32 | 0.007 | - 0.72 | < 0.01 |
| $Lp(AI)_3$ | 0.015 | 0.005 | 0.42 | < 0.05 |
| | $r = 0.97$ $r^2 = 0.95$ | | Adjusted $r^2 = 0.89$ | < 0.01 |
| Triglyceride content All subjects | | | | |
| $Lp(AI,AII)_3$ | 0.269 | 0.106 | 0.56 | < 0.05 |
| • • • • | $r = 0.56$ $r^2 = 0.31$ | | Adjusted $r^2 = 0.27$ | < 0.05 |
| Group B | | | | |
| Lp(AI,AII) ₂ | 0.213 | 0.074 | 0.71 | < 0.05 |
| Lp(AI) ₃ | - 0.129 | 0.064 | - 0.49 | < 0.05 |
| | $r = 0.81$ $r^2 = 0.65$ | | Adjusted $r^2 = 0.59$ | < 0.05 |

Stepwise regression analyses were applied to the whole group and to the subgroups. The independent variable was log postprandial lipemia (triglyceride area at 8 h) and the dependent variables were the increases (t6 - t0) in the mass of surface components or triglycerides of the four apolipoprotein-defined subfractions. Only analyses that gave significant models are shown.

increased levels. Increases in the concentrations of the surface components essentially accounted for the postprandial rise in HDL mass (results not shown).

Modifications to the apolipoprotein-defined subfractions were also examined as a function of postprandial lipemia. Linear regression analyses revealed that postprandial hypertriglyceridemia was positively correlated with the increase (t6 - t0) in the mass of surface components of $Lp(AI)_3$ (r = 0.57, P = 0.02) and $Lp(AI,AII)_3$ (r = 0.35, P = 0.18) and negatively correlated with $Lp(AI)_2$ (r = -0.40, P = 0.12) and $Lp(AI,AII)_2$ (r = -0.37, P = 0.13). Stepwise regression analysis identified a two-variable model that best explained the relationship between HDL subfractions and postprandial lipemia (adjusted $r^2 = 0.61$, P < 0.01). This involved Lp(AI,AII)₂ and Lp(AI)₃ (Table 5). Stepwise regression analysis within the subgroups revealed a three-variable model explaining 89% of the relationship between subfractions and postprandial lipemia in group A (Table 5); no significant model could be identified in group B.

SBMB

JOURNAL OF LIPID RESEARCH

A final series of analyses examined the triglyceride content of the subfractions. Linear regression analysis indicated positive correlations between postprandial lipemia and the increase in the triglyceride content (t6 - t0) of each subfraction, notably Lp(AI,AII)₃ (r = 0.56, P = 0.02) and Lp(AI,AII)₂ (r = 0.45, P = 0.08). When subjected to stepwise regression analysis only Lp(AI,AII)₃ emerged as a significant factor (adjusted $r^2 = 0.31$, P < 0.05; Table 5) for the whole group of subjects. Subgroup analyses identified a two-variable model with Lp(AI,AII)₂ and Lp(AI)₃ for the low metabolic response group (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

The concentration and composition of HDL are particularly vulnerable to fluctuations in the metabolism of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins. It is reflected in the negative correlations between plasma triglycerides and HDLcholesterol (10, 34, 35) and, to an even greater extent, in the inverse relationship between postprandial hypertriglyceridemia and HDL mass (notably subclass 2) (9, 10, 16). Conversely, efficient removal of chylomicrons/VLDL is particularly beneficial as illustrated by the positive correlation between lipoprotein lipase activity and HDL (10, 16). Two processes are thought to underlie these metabolic ties. One, the assimilation of lipolytic surface remnants, undoubtedly represents an important physiological role of HDL. In contradistinction, the second phenomenon, an excessive influx of triglycerides consequent to hypertriglyceridemia, establishes a situation where pathological changes in HDL composition and distribution could ensue (31, 35). Postprandial lipemia thus provides the scenario within which both mechanisms would be active. We have exploited this approach to further examine the involvement of defined HDL subpopulations in the processes. Our results suggest differential involvement of LpAI and LpAI,AII in the two processes where the degree of postprandial lipemia may be an important factor.

Postprandial increases in the mass of the HDL subfractions (which were largely confined to lipoprotein surface components: phospholipids, free cholesterol, and protein) revealed an interesting disparity between LpAI,AII and LpAI. That is, postprandial lipemia was positively correlated with Lp(AI)₃ and negatively correlated with Lp(AI,AII)₂. Moreover, stepwise regression analysis indicated that a model including these two variables best defined the relationship between HDL subfractions and the metabolism of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins. This is further underlined by the subgroup analyses. In subjects who were able to limit the postprandial rise in triglycerides, increases in HDL mass were particularly marked for apoA-II-containing fractions, notably Lp(AI,AII)₂. This did not reflect adsorption of lipolytic surface remnants proportional to the relative concentrations of the subfractions as, for example, 30.0% and 10.1% of the increase in surface components of HDL at t6 were associated with Lp(AI,AII)₂ and Lp(AI)₃, respectively, whereas they accounted for 19.5% and 14.8% of total HDL mass. In subjects where there was a larger degree of postprandial lipemia (group B), increases in HDL mass were most marked HDL₃-derived fractions, both $Lp(AI)_3$ in and Lp(AI,AII)₃. Again, this did not reflect adsorption according to the relative concentrations of the subfractions, e.g., Lp(AI,AII)₂ accounted for 7.9% of adsorbed surface components whereas it represented 16% of HDL mass whilst corresponding figures for Lp(AI,AII)₃ were 24.3% and 16.8%. The results suggest that both LpAI and LpAI,AII contribute to adsorption of surface remnants during postprandial lipoprotein metabolism. However, with efficient catabolism of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins, LpAI,AII particles within both the HDL₂ and HDL₃ density ranges play the predominant role in the adsorptive process. In contrast, adsorption of lipolytic remnants is limited to the HDL₃ density range and LpAI plays a more important role when the postprandial lipemic response is exaggerated. The extent of postprandial lipemia would thus appear to be an important determinant of the contribution of each subfraction.

There is little doubt that postprandial events occasion a decrease in the density of HDL particles (11, 12, 15, 36, 37). Under in vitro conditions, the influx of material is apparently sufficient to move HDL₃ into the HDL₂ density range (11). The situation in vivo is less clear, however, as there has been no convincing demonstration of such an extensive change in HDL flotation rate (12, 13). This may reflect the highly complex nature of competing activities at the HDL level, including lipid transfer, LCAT, and particularly hepatic lipase (8, 14-16, 36); the latter can



generate denser HDL particles, countering the tendency of postprandial HDL to manifest a higher flotation rate. Patsch et al. (16) have developed a model whereby HDL cycles between the subclass 3 and 2 density ranges during the postprandial phase and have suggested that LpAI,AII particles could be responsible for the shuttle mechanism (16). Our results are consistent with this model as regards subjects with a high metabolic response in that the Lp(AI,AII)₂ subfraction was particularly active. However, we are unable to determine whether this reflects adsorption by Lp(AI,AII)₃ and subsequent conversion to Lp(AI,AII)₂ as suggested by Patsch et al. (16) or adsorption by pre-existing subfractions within the HDL₂ and HDL₃ density ranges (13). There was a tendency for the Lp(AI,AII)₃/Lp(AI,AII)₂ mass ratio to fall in postprandial samples (3.56, 3.19, and 3.14 for t0, t2, and t6, respectively) but the changes were not significant and thus do not provide evidence for a substantial shift in the density of the LpAI,AII fraction.

Results from the subjects with a low metabolic response to the fat load were less consistent with the model as there was a greater involvement of LpAI particles. This could, however, represent one pathophysiological consequence of exaggerated postprandial lipemia. There are several indications that adsorption of lipolytic surface remnants by apoA-II-containing particles may be physiological desirable. First, due to its stronger lipid binding properties (38, 39) apoA-II may more effectively stabilize particles undergoing substantial compositional changes. Second, recent studies by Mowri et al. (40) demonstrated that in vitro hepatic lipase preferentially hydrolyzes lipids of apoA-IIcontaining lipoproteins. Thus they appear better equipped for elimination of adsorbed lipids and regeneration of acceptor particles. Third, it has long been known that particles within the HDL₃ spectrum are the most avid acceptors of cellular cholesterol (41), recent studies implicating LpAI particles (24, 25). This function could be affected by postprandial fluctuations in LpAI composition. Moreover, such particles may less efficiently shed acquired lipids due to the absence of apoA-II, thus prolonging any undesirable effects. In this context, one potential, pathological consequence of exaggerated postprandial lipemia could be interference with the functioning of LpAI, endangering the major pathway for elimination of cholesterol.

The second phenomenon associated with increased plasma triglyceride levels is an accelerated transfer of the lipid to HDL, resulting from the activity of lipid transfer proteins (8, 31, 42). This subsequently sets the stage for transformation of lipoproteins into smaller denser particles, a process in which hepatic lipase is implicated (14, 16, 43, 44). Although lipid transfer protein appears to be associated with LpAI (45), efficient transfer of lipids into both LpAI and LpAI,AII has been observed in vitro (40, 46). Our results showing significant changes to the core lipid mass ratios only in LpAI,AII subfractions could reflect preferential involvement of apoA-II-containing particles. The data should, however, be interpreted with caution. Generation of esterified cholesterol by LCAT could have masked modifications to the ratio in LpAI. Conversely, hepatic lipase-mediated hydrolysis of triglycerides (40) would limit apparent increases of the lipid in LpAI,AII. In this context, it should be noted that both absolute and relative concentrations of triglycerides were consistently lower in LpAI,AII as compared to LpAI particles (Tables 3A, B). Nevertheless, stepwise regression analysis identified triglyceride enrichment of Lp(AI,AII)₃ as being most strongly linked to postprandial hypertriglyceridemia and may reflect the fact that the bulk of esterified cholesterol resides within this subfraction. If this can be confirmed, it raises the question as to whether ongoing core lipid modifications could influence the capacity of LpAI,AII to act as acceptors of lipoprotein surface components.

In conclusion, our results demonstrate that postprandial lipemia differentially influences LpAI and LpAI,AII particles. ApoA-II-containing particles would appear to be principally involved in adsorption of surface remnants when the postprandial rise in triglyceride-rich lipoproteins is limited. Conversely, LpAI particles are implicated to a greater extent with excessive hypertriglyceridemia, with potential pathophysiological consequences. Triglyceride enrichment of all HDL subfractions is observed in the postprandial phase, although the greatest impact would appear to be on Lp(AI,AII)₃. The results reinforce suggestions that LpAI and LpAI,AII are distinct metabolic entities that should be fractionated if their physiological roles are to be fully appreciated.

The authors are very grateful to Dr. Juan Ruiz for expert advice on statistical analyses and to Marie-Claude Brulhart, Freda Ruinard, and Barbara Kalix for technical assistance. The study was supported by a grant from the Swiss National Research Fund, No. 32-30782.91.

Manuscript received 13 September 1993 and in revised form 9 February 1994.

REFERENCES

- Gofman, J. W., W. Young, and R. Tandy. 1966. Ischemic heart disease, atherosclerosis and longevity. *Circulation*. 34: 679-697.
- Abbott, R. D., P. W. F. Wilson, W. B. Kannel, and K. D. Castelli. 1988. High density lipoprotein cholesterol, total cholesterol screening and myocardial infarction. The Framingham study. *Arteriosclerosis.* 8: 207-211.
- Gordon, D. J., J. L. Probstfield, R. J. Garrison, J. D. Neaton, W. P. Castelli, W. F. Knoke, D. R. Jacobs, S. Bangdiwala, and H. A. Tyroler. 1989. High-density lipoprotein cholesterol and cardiovascular disease. Four prospective American studies. *Circulation.* 79: 8-15.

- RCH ASBMB
- JOURNAL OF LIPID RESEARCH

- 4. Jacobs, D. R., I. L. Mebane, S. I. Bangdiwala, M. H. Criqui, and H. A. Tyroler. 1990. High density lipoprotein cholesterol as a predictor of cardiovascular disease mortality in men and women. The follow-up study of the Lipid Research Clinics Prevalence Study. Am. J. Epidemiol. 131: 32-47.
- Stampfer, M. J., F. M. Sacks, S. Salvini, W. C. Willett, and C. H. Hennekens. 1991. A prospective study of cholesterol, apolipoproteins and the risk of myocardial infarction. N. Engl. J. Med. 325: 373-381.
- Manninen, V., O. Elo, H. Frick, K. Haapa, O. P. Heinonen, P. Heinsalmi, P. Helo, J. K. Huttunen, P. Kaitaniemi, P. Koskinen, H. Meandaa, M. Malkonen, M. Mantari, S. Norola, A. Pasternack, J. Pikkarainen, M. Romo, T. Sjoblom, and E. A. Nikkilä. 1988. Lipid alterations and the decline in the incidence of coronary heart disease in the Helsinki heart study. J. Am. Med. Assoc. 260: 641-651.
- Gordon, D. J., and B. M. Rifkind. 1989. High density lipoprotein-the clinical implications of recent studies. N. Engl. J. Med. 321: 1311-1316.
- Tall, A. R. 1990. Plasma high density lipoproteins. Metabolism and relationship to atherogenesis. J. Clin. Invest. 86: 379-384.
- Patsch, J. R., J. B. Karlin, L. W. Scott, L. C. Smith, and A. M. Gotto, Jr. 1983. Inverse relationship between blood levels of high density lipoprotein subfraction 2 and magnitude of postprandial lipemia. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 80: 1449-1453.
- Nikkilä, E. A., M-J. Taskinen, and S. Sane. 1987. Plasma high density lipoprotein concentration and subfraction distribution in relation to triglyceride metabolism. *Am. Heart* J. 113: 543-548.
- Patsch, J. R., A. M. Gotto, Jr., T. Olivecrona, and S. Eisenberg. 1978. Formation of high-density lipoprotein₂-like particles during lipolysis of very low density lipoproteins in vitro. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. **75**: 4519-4523.
- Tall, A. R., C. B. Blum, G. P. Forester, and C. A. Nelson. 1982. Changes in the distribution and composition of plasma high density lipoproteins after ingestion of fat. J. Biol. Chem. 257: 198-207.
- Groot, P. H. E., and L. M. Scheek. 1984. Effects of fat ingestion on high density lipoprotein profiles in human sera. *J. Lipid Res.* 25: 684-692.
- 14. Kuusi, T., P. Saarinen, and E. A. Nikkilä. 1980. Evidence for the role of hepatic endothelial lipase in the metabolism of plasma high density lipoprotein₂ in man. *Atherosclerosis*. **36**: 589-593.
- Patsch, J. R., S. Prasad, A. M. Gotto, Jr., and G. Bengtsson-Olivecrona. 1984. Postprandial lipemia. A key for the conversion of high density lipoprotein₂ into high density lipoprotein₃ by hepatic lipase. J. Clin. Invest. 74: 2017-2023.
- Patsch, J. R., S. Prasad, A. M. Gotto, Jr., and W. Patsch. 1987. High density lipoprotein₂. Relationships of the plasma levels of this lipoprotein species to its composition, to the magnitude of postprandial lipemia, and to the activity of lipoprotein lipase and hepatic lipase. J. Clin. Invest. 80: 341-347.
- Kostner, G., A. Depisch, W. Petek, and A. Holasek. 1971. Untersuchung der beiden in der Agarose-gel-Elektrophorese auftretenden α-Lipoproteinbanden. Hoppe-Seyler's Z. Physiol. Chem. 352: 1440-1444.
- Cheung, M. C., and J. J. Albers. 1982. Distribution of high density lipoprotein particles with different apoprotein composition: particles with A-I and A-II and particles with A-I but no A-II. J. Lipid Res. 23: 747-753.

- 19. Atmeh, R. F., J. Shepherd, and C. J. Packard. 1983. Subpopulations of apolipoprotein A-I in human high density lipoproteins: their metabolic properties and response to drug therapy. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* **751**: 175-188.
- James, R. W., D. Hochstrasser, J-D. Tissot, M. Funk, R. Appel, F. Barja, C. Pellegrini, A-F. Muller, and D. Pometta. 1988. Protein heterogeneity of lipoprotein particles containing apolipoprotein A-I without apolipoprotein A-II and apolipoprotein A-I with apolipoprotein A-II isolated from human plasma. J. Lipid Res. 29: 1557-1571.
- Ohta, T., S. Hattori, S. Nishiyama, and I. Matsuda. 1988. Studies on the lipid and apolipoprotein compositions of two species of apoA-I-containing lipoproteins in normolipidemic males and females. J. Lipid Res. 29: 721-728.
- Cheung, M. C., and J. J. Albers. 1984. Characterization of lipoprotein particles isolated by immunoaffinity chromatography: particles containing A-I and A-II and particles containing A-I but no A-II. J. Biol. Chem. 259: 12201-12209.
- James, R. W., and D. Pometta. 1990. Immunofractionation of high density lipoprotein subclasses 2 and 3. Similarities and differences of fractions isolated from male and female populations. *Atherosclerosis.* 83: 35-45.
- Barbaras, R., P. Puchois, J-C. Fruchart, and G. Ailhaud. 1987. Cholesterol efflux from cultured adipose cells is mediated by LpAI particles but not by LpAI:AII particles. *Bi*ochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 142: 63-69.
- 25. Castro, G. R., and C. J. Fielding. 1988. Early incorporation of cell-derived cholesterol into pre- β -migrating high-density lipoprotein. *Biochemistry*. 27: 25-29.
- Johnson, W. J., E. P. C. Kilsdonk, A. Van Tol, M. C. Phillips, and G. H. Rothblat. 1991. Cholesterol efflux from cells to immunopurified subfractions of human high density lipoprotein: LP-AI and LP-AI/AII. J. Lipid Res. 32: 1993-2000.
- James, R. W., A. Proudfoot, and D. Pometta. 1989. Immunoaffinity fractionation of high density lipoprotein subclasses 2 and 3 using anti-apolipoprotein A-I and A-II immunosorbent gels. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1002: 292-301.

Downloaded from www.jlr.org by guest, on June 18, 2012

- James, R. W., and D. Pometta. 1991. Very low density and low density lipoprotein distribution profiles in poorly controlled male type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetologia*. 34: 246-252.
- James, R. W., and D. Pometta. 1990. Differences in lipoprotein subfraction composition and distribution between type I diabetic men and control subjects. *Diabetes*. 39: 1158-1164.
- Lowry, O. H., N. J. Rosebrough, A. L. Farr, and R. J. Randall. 1951. Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. J. Biol. Chem. 193: 265-275.
- Deckelbaum, R. J., E. Granot, Y. Oschry, L. Rose, and S. Eisenberg. 1984. Plasma triglyceride determines structure-composition in low and high density lipoproteins. *Arteriosclerosis.* 4: 225-231.
- National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute Consensus Development Panel. 1984. Treatment of hypertriglyceridemia. J. Am. Med. Assoc. 251: 1196-1200.
- Study Group, European Atherosclerosis Society. 1988. The recognition and management of hyperlipidaemia in adults: a policy statement of the European Atherosclerosis Society. *Eur. Heart J.* 9: 571-600.
- Davies, C. E., D. Gordon, J. Larosa, P. D. S. Wood, and M. Halperin. 1980. Correlations of plasma high density lipoprotein levels with other plasma lipid and lipoprotein concentrations. The Lipid Research Clinics Program Prevalence Study. Circulation. 62 (suppl IV): IV24-IV30.
- 35. Chang, L. B. F., G. F. Hopkins, and P. J. Barter. 1985. Par-

BMB

ticle size distribution of high density lipoproteins as a function of plasma triglyceride concentrations in human subjects. *Atherosclerosis.* 56: 61-70.

- Havel, R. J., J. P. Kane, and M. L. Kashyap. 1973. Interchange of apoproteins between chylomicrons and high density lipoproteins during alimentary lipemia in man. J. Clin. Invest. 52: 32-38.
- 37. Franceschini, G., Y. Moreno, P. Apebe, L. Calabresi, E. Gatti, D. Noe, E. de Fabiani, F. Zoppi, and C. R. Sirtori. 1988. Alterations in high density lipoprotein subfractions during postprandial lipemia induced by fat with and without ethanol. *Clin. Sci.* 75: 135-142.
- Lagocki, P. A., and A. M. Scanu. 1980. In vitro modulation of the apolipoprotein composition of high density lipoproteins. J. Biol. Chem. 255: 3701-3706.
- Cheung, M. C., and A. C. Wolf. 1988. Differential effect of ultracentrifugation on apolipoprotein A-I-containing lipoprotein subpopulations. J. Lipid Res. 29: 15-25.
- Mowri, H-O., W. Patsch, L. C. Smith, A. M. Gotto, Jr., and J. R. Patsch. 1992. Different reactivities of high density lipoprotein₂ subfractions with hepatic lipase. J. Lipid Res. 33: 1269-1279.
- 41. Oram, J. F., J. J. Albers, M. C. Cheung, and E. L. Bierman. 1981. The effects of subfractions of high density lipoprotein on cholesterol efflux from cultured fibroblasts.

J. Biol. Chem. 256: 8348-8356.

- Whitlock, M. E., T. L. Swenson, R. Ramakrishnan, M. T. Leonard, Y. L. Marcel, R. W. Milne, and A. R. Tall. 1989. Monoclonal antibody inhibition of cholesteryl ester transfer protein activity in the rabbit. Effects on lipoprotein composition and high density lipoprotein cholesterol ester metabolism. J. Clin. Invest. 84: 129-137.
- 43. Kuusi, T., E. A. Nikkilä, P. Saarinen, P. Varjo, and L. A. Laitinen. 1982. Plasma high density lipoproteins HDL₂, HDL₃ and post heparin plasma lipases in relation to parameters of physical fitness. *Atherosclerosis.* 41: 209-219.
- 44. Groot, P. H. E., L. M. Scheek, and H. Jansen. 1983. Liver lipase and high density lipoprotein. Lipoprotein changes after incubation of human serum with rat liver lipase. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 751: 393-400.
- Cheung, M. C., A. C. Wolf, K. D. Lum, J. H. Tollefson, and J. J. Albers. 1986. Distribution and localization of lecithin:cholesterol acyltransferase and cholesteryl ester transfer activity in A-I-containing lipoproteins. J. Lipid Res. 27: 1135-1144.
- Cheung, M. C., and A. C. Wolf. 1989. In vitro transformation of apoA-I-containing lipoprotein subpopulations: role of lecithin:cholesterol acyltransferase and apoB-containing lipoproteins. J. Lipid Res. 30: 499-509.

Downloaded from www.jlr.org by guest, on June 18, 2012